

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,866	LIMONT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	UMAR CHEEMA	2444	

All Participants:

(1) UMAR CHEEMA

(2) Ranodhi Serrao

Date of Interview: 29 December 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444
/U. C./
Examiner, Art Unit 2444

Status of Application: _____

(3) Robert Bain (Reg. No. 36,736)

(4) _____

Time: 2 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A phone call was made with the approval of Primary examiner Ranodhi Serrao to discuss examiner's amendment to overcome 101 issues and moving the application toward allowance. Applicant's representative Mr. Bain agreed upon proposed amendment draft via email.